

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/552,238	01/03/2007	Pamela Brown	PB60052	6285
29462 57590 695202908 SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY-US, UW2220			EXAMINER	
			MABRY, JOHN	
P. O. BOX 1539 KING OF PRUSSIA, PA 19406-0939			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1625	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/20/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail $\,$ address(es):

US_cipkop@gsk.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/552,238	BROWN ET AL.
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	John Mabry, PhD	1625
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>John Mabry, PhD</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>James C. Kellerman, Esg</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>14 May 2008</u>	Time: 3:35 p.m.	
Type of Interview:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Application No. 10/552,238

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: POTENTIAL ABANDONMENT FOR FAILURE TO RESPOND - Examiner and Attry Andrew Kellerman discussed via telephone if Applicant sent a Response to a Non-Final Rejection mailed on October 19, 2007. Attry Kellerman informed Examiner that Applicant did not send a Response because Applicant intends to abandon Application 10/552,238, filed on October 7, 2005.